

From: Kathryn QannaYahu  
Subject: Information request  
6/14/2013 3:54 PM

To: [jhagener@mt.gov](mailto:jhagener@mt.gov)  
Bcc: [katqanna@gmail.com](mailto:katqanna@gmail.com)

Jeff Hagener, Becky Dokter suggested I email you concerning my original FOIA request, which does not have but a fraction of the information I requested. Attached is one of the emails that was included in the disk I received. After your email to Ken McDonald, stating "We need to comply with this request...", Ken stated to Quentin, "My first inclination is to send her to the website where the workgroup documents were placed. If she comes back for more, then give her an estimate of the time it will take you, Justin, Laura, and others to dig out and copy every email we have related to the topic,..." I had already been to that site and I was the one that notified FWP that y'all didnt even have an active link for the January 10th Proposed Final Recommendations. I received a disk (thank you for the electronic medium), with 388 emails and some attachments. While there are obviously gaps in emails and emails that were involved that are not there, I requested other items, which were not included. Becky suggested I list specifics and send the request to you. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

#### Information Request Specifics

- List of Applicants for the original Elk Brucellosis Working Group
- Who selected the working group members and what criteria was used to make final determinations?
- Documentation submitted to FWP commission members concerning the Elk Brucellosis Working Group
- Commission Proposed Final Recommendations and their ammendments voted on on January 10, 2013 (the proposed final recommendations, as submitted by the working group, are online, but not the amendmets that were voted on by the commissioners)
- Lists and locations of the local working groups
- Members of local working groups and what constituency they represent, according to the commission approved recommendations - "At a minimum, they should reasonably represent the various constituencies that would typically include sporting and other wildlife interests, livestock producers, landowners that do not primarily raise livestock and any associated resource or land management agencies."
- Schedules of presentations to various groups concerning the elk brucellosis working groups and management
- Reports about these presentations and feedback from participants
- Minutes from local working group meetings
- FWP wildlife biologist reports/comments on the elk brucellosis working group proposals or implementation
- Public notices for local Elk Brucellosis Working Group presentations or meetings
- Details of dispersal hunts: when, where, hunter involved and what group represented, number and gender of elk taken, what was done with gut piles that could transmit brucellosis, did landowners allow public hunting during the general season, are these

lands part of conservation easements, what options were utilized in relation to the hunt and what order did the hunt occur in these options, Brucellosis Risk Management Complaint/Response forms that recommended or requested Stackyard fencing materials?

- Maps and charts utilized in the local elk brucellosis working group management

Also, Ken McDonald and Quentin Kujala appear to be operating under the mistaken idea that this FOIA was from the Gallatin Wildlife Association. It is not. I am an independent researcher, part of a collaborative, who also happens to be a Gallatin Wildlife Association, as well as a Montana Wildlife Federation member, but this request was not a GWA official request from Glenn Hockett, or from any other official organization. Also attached is an email exchange that is partly responsible for why I had to file the information request in the first place - obstruction in the public process and dismissal of complaints to the obstruction of this process.

Thank you, Kathryn QannaYahu