From: rjakesdockter@mt.gov
Subject: FW: Hunt Roster for Regions 3 and 5
7/1/2013   3:31 PM

To: katqanna@gmail.com
Cc: Jhagener@mt.gov , kmcdonald@mt.gov , qkujala@mt.gov

Hi there Kathryn,

I spoke with you recently and was asked to help you get the information you've requested in the email below.

Attached you will find two hunt rosters; one from R-3 and one from R-5. It was explained to me (by Quentin Kujala) how the roster list works:

"I understand the hunters were called to hunt in the order in which they appear. But not all hunters marked "accepted" were further contacted to literally go hunting. This is because the hunts were being monitored for elk presence/distribution—and when/if elk were outside hunt areas and/or did not represent a commingling risk with livestock no hunting took place (and hunters were not contacted). As the spring progressed and the hunting efforts were closed, this means some hunters further down the list never were actually afforded the opportunity to hunt. For what it's worth, this approach is consistent with some game damage hunts as well.

I do understand most of the hunters "accepted" for HD 560 did hunt. And that nine hunters participated in HD 317 before the effort transitioned to hazing."

I hope this answers your request.

Thanks,

Becky

From: Kathryn QannaYahu [mailto:katqanna@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2013 10:45 AM
To: Hagener, Jeff
Subject: Hunt Roster for Regions 3 and 5

Mr. Hagener, I forgot to include in my specifics for the Foia information/documentation that I did not receive last time, a list of the hunt roster participants for regions 3 and 5. I need to know the order the rosters were at, as of January 10th, which hunters were utilized on which dates since then - to current date, where they hunted, what the classification of the hunt was labeled (game damage, dispersal, etc.) and what they harvested, assuming, once utilized, they drop to the bottom of the list, per your hunt roster page information. Thank you.

Kathryn QannaYahu